

APPENDIX B

Omojomolo, Alex

From: John Thewlis [john@]
Sent: 27 May 2009 16:21
To: Regen, Licensing
Subject: Licence number 829686 - applicant Two Men and a Lady Ltd - Objection

Ladies and Gentlemen of the Licensing Committee,

I write in objection to licensing application 829686 - Tas Restaurant on the grounds of the prevention of public nuisance

A residential block sits roughly opposite the restaurant
I live at flat that block
Southwark Council has consistently failed to meet decent homes standard
The block is without double-glazing or any other noise pollution reducing technology
Sleep is nigh impossible at times and several residents suffer severely - myself included - and are under the doctor
Severe infrasound reverberation exists between the block and buildings opposite
This significantly amplifies noise from late night taxis and large commercial vehicles
We presently have just 4 - 5 quiet hours overnight and relatively quiet weekends except and particularly later at night
Television viewing and relaxation are perennially disturbed
I do not wish to be a NIMBY - Not In My Back Yard - but I am extremely distressed
We are already woken regularly by bottle-emptying early-hours from the Anchor & Hope
We are entitled, I believe, to undisturbed sleep; but seldom is this granted
Southwark Council optimistically might find themselves liable for consistent

May I request or suggest simply deferral of further licences at least until Southwark Council has itself fulfilled its obligations to its residents in late 2010?

I would thus ask at this time whether a decision to grant said licence might not be considered simply somewhat imprudent.
The residents of this block have been subjugated to the tactless whims of a Council from Hell for nigh on twenty years.
There is currently very, very much malcontent to the extent of swearing from the previously nil-expletive by mouth.

This represents a very serious issue to the residents. Perhaps we should beg? I think no more!

With best regards

John Thewlis
Styles House
Hatfields
London
SE1 8DF

07958 [REDACTED]

Omojomolo, Alex

From: Jugal Vansia [jvansia@]
Sent: 20 May 2009 18:41
To: Regen, Licensing
Subject: Tas restaurant application for off sales alcohol license

Dear Sir/Madam,

I have been made aware that Tas restaurant on The Cut, SE1 is applying for an off sales alcohol license. I, along with three others are resident at The Cut, a few meters away from Tas restaurant and we are very concerned about the noise and disturbance this will bring to the area during the week when we are all working full time and sleeping by 10/11pm. The Cut is a wonderful area and this would really disrupt the peace at late hours during the week and this is totally unacceptable. I hereby object in writing to this application and sincerely hope this is not granted.

Kind Regards,

Jugal Vansia
The Cut
Waterloo
SE1 8LF

MIKE TUPPEN

UFFORD STREET,
LONDON SE1 8QD

TELEPHONE 020 7928

Mobile 07813

Mike@

Southwark Council Licensing
Chaplin Centre
Thurlow Street
London SE17

21st May 2009

Dear Sirs

Re TAS Restaurant, 33 The Cut, London SE1 Application 829686

I would like to object the granting of a license to these premises to sell alcohol to be consumed off premises – outdoors.

My reason for this is twofold

- 1) These premises have not acted responsibly and have since last year had tables (as many as 9) outside their premises and have had waiters on the pavement serving drinks. I have evidenced this to Southwark Council last year and they had tables removed.

This year I again witnessed tables outside and waiters running about serving trays of drinks to the tables and after a few complaints from some of our members (Octavia Hill Residents Association) I made a Freedom of Information request and received information that they did not have license for tables. I was informed by Streetcare in writing that that they would put a stop to this. But it continued.

After a committee meeting I was nominated to make an approach to the manager to meet with our committee but they refused. When talking with manager she at first said she had a license and when I asked to see it she showed me the blue licensing application which was facing inwards and not viable to the passing public. She advised me that her legal people had told her that she could use half the pavement outside with 9 tables and serve alcohol because they had applied for license.

- 2) My 2nd objection is on public nuisance. The matter of pavement and outside drinking has been discussed at many local forums and meetings as the Cathedral Ward Councilors as well as Bishops Ward Councilors will be aware. At all these meetings, there has been a call for a complete ban on all further late licenses but especially for licenses that encourage people to be on our pavements drinking till the early hours. These premises are situated underneath residential flats and right opposite Tait, Benson and Styles Houses who are already suffering great nuisance and anti social behavior from the 3 large licensed premises in Isabel Street.

I do not want to be a spoil sport and realize that because of smoking ban, some people would prefer to sit outside and would like to suggest the following :-

Allow 3 tables and 6 chairs outside with service of alcohol till 23.00

I will be on holiday on 6th July, so I will pass any documents that I have concerning infringements by these premises to one of the other objectors

Yours Sincerely

Mike Tuppen

Omojomolo, Alex

From: kenneth collard [ken@]
Sent: 21 May 2009 22:28
To: Regen, Licensing
Subject: Licence number 829686 - applicant Two Men and a Lady Ltd

I understand that the above company has applied to extend their drinking licence at Tas Restaurant to run until 1.30am and that it should include the serving of drinks on the pavement.

I would like to object to the proposed changes in licensing at Tas Restaurant on the grounds that the restaurant is very close to residential accommodation and it is located in an open space with little, or no noise insulation. The noise that would be generated as a result of street drinking would cause a great deal of stress, inconvenience and nuisance to the residents. The area is already overwhelmed with bars that have little concern for local residents and we have already witnessed the noise and anti social behaviour that can emanate from these restaurants. In addition to this, there is legislation in this part of Southwark that allows the police to remove alcohol from 'street drinkers' and I would oppose any ruling that prevented equality of opportunity

Incidentally, Tas Restaurant currently do not have a licence to have tables and chairs outside on the pavement but this does not stop them from doing so. In addition to this, they displayed their application on the windows of their premises but with the writing facing the inside of the building. They have now, after intervention, turned this around so that it can be read from the outside.

Kenneth Collard
`Styles House
The Cut
London
SE1 8DF

Kenneth Collard

e: ken@
m: +44(0)7774
w:

CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE: This e-mail and any attachments hereto may contain legally privileged and confidential information intended solely for use by the addressee's named herein. If you are not the intended recipient of this e-mail, you are hereby notified any dissemination, distribution or copying of this e-mail, and any attachments hereto, is strictly prohibited. If you have received this e-mail in error, please notify the sender immediately via electronic mail and delete this message and all copies and backups thereof. Thank you.

RECEIVED
21 MAY 2009
COUNCIL

Miss R Clark
Ufford Street
Waterloo, SE1 8Qd

To The Licensing Officer
Southwark Council
Chaplin Centre
Thurlow Street
London SE17 2DG

20th May 09

Dear Sirs,

I write in regards to the application for excessive outside drinking of alcohol made by the TAs in The Cut.


I have to pass this restaurant on the way to my doctors to use the crossing and in the summer months there are waiters flying about on the pavement with trays of drinks.

This is of course very dangerous especially as all their tables are blocking pavements meant for pedestrians.

To allow drinking of alcohol on the pavement till 1.30 am would cause nuisance and noise in an area which is already blighted by an excess of licensed premises.

I hope that Southwark Council will not grant this license

Yours Sincerely



Miss R Clark

Ray Keavey

Flat 1 Tait House, Greet Street, London SE1 8NA
Tel: 020 Mob: 07909
email: raymond.keavey@

Southwark Council Licensing
Chaplin Centre Centre
Thurlow Street
London SE17 2DG

RECEIVED
26 MAY 2009

21st May 2009

Dear Sir or Madam,

Re: Licence Application Number 829686, TAS Restaurant 33 The Cut, SE1 8LF

I write to object to the granting of a licence to sell alcohol for consumption off the premises – outdoors.

I detail below my reasons for objection:

- (a) In my opinion the premises management has not acted in a responsible or reasonable manner by placing tables and chairs outside the premises and serving alcohol at these tables when they **do not have a licence for tables and chairs to be placed outside the premises**, neither **do they have a licence to serve alcohol outside the premises**. A representative of our residents association visited the restaurant to invite the manager to attend a meeting with the purpose of pursuing and reaching an amicable compromise agreement. The invitation was declined.
- (b) **Public Nuisance**. I have attended many meetings and forums over the past 2 years hosted by both Southwark and Lambeth Councils to discuss problems created by outside drinking. These included inter alia noise pollution, anti – social behaviour and a fear of increasing crime such as handbag theft, muggings and aggressive street begging.


The number of bars and restaurants issued with late-night / early morning outside alcohol licenses have reached saturation point. The Cut and surrounding streets, Hatfields, Greet Street, Short Street, Ufford Street, Mitre Road and Windmill Walk are totally residential and living here is becoming unbearable and sleeping sometimes impossible. I am not anti-business or anti-alcohol. I have lived in Greet Street for 35 years and have seen the results empty business premises have caused such as squatting, drug dealing, vandalism and increased crime, plus loss of local shop for residents.

The smoking ban has created most of the problem with pavement obstruction and late night noise pollution. I therefore would be prepared to discuss a compromise agreement of a limited number of tables and six chairs on the pavement. Having discussed this with another resident the limit would be three tables and chairs. This would permit outside service from Monday to Saturday until 23:00 and

Sunday until 22:30 this must be vigorously adhered to by their management and the tables and chairs removed from the pavement at deadline.

I look forward to receiving confirmation of my objection in due course.

Yours faithfully

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read 'R Keavey', with a long, sweeping horizontal stroke extending to the right.

Ray Keavey.

Octavia Hill Residents' Association

Chairman: Ray Keavey

Flat: Tait House, Greet Street, London SE1 8NA

Tel: 020 7

Mob: 07909

raymond.keavey@octaviahillresidents.org.uk

Southwark Council Licensing
Chaplin Centre
Thurlow Street
London
SE17 2DG

RECEIVED

21 MAY 2009

21st May 2009

Dear Sirs,

Re: TAS Restaurant 33, The Cut, London SE1 8LF

Licence Application Number 828686

I write on behalf of the Octavia Hill Residents' Association to object to the granting of a licence to sell alcohol off the premises – Outdoors. Prior to submitting this objection, Mr Mike Tuppen the association's vice-chairman visited the restaurant and invited the manager to our committee meeting to discuss their application. Our invitation was declined.


The association agreed unanimously to object to the granting of a licence. They have not acted in a responsible or reasonable manner. Despite not having a licence to place tables and chairs outside of the premises they continue to do so. They are serving alcohol outside of the premises when they are not legally entitled to do so. The application for licence hasn't been heard yet and we expect further violations of condition if granted.

Our second objection is public nuisance. Residents will suffer unbearable noise pollution by having people sitting and drinking outside the restaurant until 01:30 seven days per week. Residents would suffer from increased anti-social behaviour, fear of increasing crime such as handbag theft, muggings and aggressive street begging.

We are still prepared to meet with their management to explore if we can find an avenue for a compromise agreement. A signed copy of the

minutes of our committee meeting of 11th May 2009 is enclosed with this letter.

Yours sincerely

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read 'Ray Keavey', written over a horizontal line.

Ray Keavey
Chairman Octavia Hill Residents' Association

CC Cllr Adele Morris
Cllr Danny McCarthy
Zoe Bulmer SHTRA
Julian Smith WHTRA
Cllr Peter Truesdale Bishops Ward (Lambeth)
Kate Hoey MP

M Tuppen D Mills A Keavey K Willitts A Mills D Smith.

Octavia Hill Residents' Association

Minutes

Minutes of the committee meeting held on Monday 11th May 2009.

The meeting was held in the meeting room of St. Andrew's Church, Short Street.

Those present were:

RECEIVED

25 MAY 2009

Ray Keavey
Mike Tuppen
David Mills
Karen Willits
Allan Keavey
Anthony Mills

The chairman opened the meeting at 18:00.


He thanked members for attending at short notice and stated that there would be one item for discussion, the licence application for off sales – outside by TAS restaurant: The Secretary distributed copies of the application. The vice- chairman had visited the restaurant early last week to invite a representative of TAS to attend the meeting which had been declined. After long discussion it was agreed unanimously to object to the granting of the licence. The wording of the association's letter was agreed for submission. The chairman felt we

should include a compromise suggestion in the letter and this was agreed unanimously.

The Chairman said the second item on the original agenda would be carried over until the meeting on Monday 18th May after the on site visit between Network Rail, Valerie Shawcross AM and OHRA.

The chairman closed the meeting at 19:35

Signed



Chairman

Signed



Vice-Chairman

Dated: 11th May 2009.

Allan R Keavey

Flat 7, Tait House, Greet Street, London SE1 8NA
Tel: 020 7261 1197 Mob: 07909
email: strt1593@

Southwark Council Licensing
Chaplin Centre Centre
Thurlow Street
London SE17 2DG

RECEIVED

25 MAY 2009

21st May 2009

Dear Sir or Madam,

Re: Licence Application Number 829686, TAS Restaurant 33 The Cut, SE1 8LF

I write to object to the granting of a licence to sell alcohol for consumption off the premises – outdoors. Having discussed this with my neighbour and my father we have agreed the reasons for objection and the format and contents of our letters are identical. Had we signed a joint letter it would have counted as one objection as opposed to three objections, therefore we are forwarding individual letters.

I detail below my reasons for objection:

- (a) In my opinion the premises management has not acted in a responsible or reasonable manner by placing tables and chairs outside the premises and serving alcohol at these tables when they **do not have a licence for tables and chairs to be placed outside the premises,** neither **do they have a licence to serve alcohol outside the premises.** A representative of our residents association visited the restaurant to invite the manager to attend a meeting with the purpose of pursuing and reaching an amicable compromise agreement. The invitation was declined.
- (b) **Public Nuisance.** I have attended many meetings and forums over the past 2 years hosted by both Southwark and Lambeth Councils to discuss problems created by outside drinking. These included inter alia noise pollution, anti – social behaviour and a fear of increasing crime such as handbag theft, muggings and aggressive street begging.

The number of bars and restaurants issued with late-night / early morning outside alcohol licenses have reached saturation point. The Cut and surrounding streets, Hatfields, Greet Street, Short Street, Ufford Street, Mitre Road and Windmill Walk are totally residential and living here is becoming unbearable and sleeping sometimes impossible. I am not anti-business or anti-alcohol. I have lived in Greet Street for 35years and have seen the results empty business premises have caused such as squatting, drug dealing, vandalism and increased crime, plus loss of local shop for residents.

The smoking ban has created most of the problem with pavement obstruction and late night noise pollution. I therefore would be prepared to discuss a compromise

agreement of a limited number of tables and six chairs on the pavement. Having discussed this with another resident the limit would be three tables and chairs. This would permit outside service from Monday to Saturday until 23:00 and Sunday until 22:30 this must be vigorously adhered to by their management and the tables and chairs removed from the pavement at deadline.

I look forward to receiving confirmation of my objection in due course.

Yours faithfully

A handwritten signature in black ink that reads "A. Keavey". The signature is written in a cursive style with a large, sweeping flourish at the end.

Allan R Keavey.

David Smith

*Secretary of Council
Surrey County Council
Guildford
Surrey GU1 2EG*

RECEIVED

26 MAY 2009

23 May 2009

*Application to change
License 829686*

*Southwark Licensing Team
Chaplin Centre
Thurlow Street
London, SE17 "DG*

Dear Sir/Madam

*I wish to object to the application made by the holder of License 829868,
TAS Restaurant of 33 The Cut, SE1 8LF.*

*The council is currently conducting a survey into the residents' opinions
regarding a saturation policy in the area, the very existence of such a
survey indicates that the council is aware of the problems we already have
which it seems it is unable, or unwilling, to control.*

*We have problems with excessive noise unruly abusive behaviour, shouting,
screaming, foul language, urinating in public and vandalism (I am
currently facing a repair cost for a broken electric wing mirror of over £200
caused by drunken idiots late at night). The aggravation we as residents
suffer doesn't end at a reasonable hour - before midnight- it continues
until after 1 a.m., the customers of EV, Jack's Bar and Thai Silk in Isabella
Street seem to think it is a great idea to make as much noise as possible
whilst they wait for their horn honking door slamming cabs. Their false
bonhomie accompanied by hysterical screams and laughter only bring out
murderous thoughts in me, impotent rage is a terrible thing.*

*I identify the above as typical of what we suffer already and the owner of
TAS owns EV which is one of the culprits so I cannot see them controlling
customers outside TAS any better than they do with EV.*

*I believe that the owners and employees treat the council and its' rulings
with complete and utter contempt, as proof I enclose photo's that I took
earlier this evening, customers being served wine outside the premises at
eight o'clock, customers blocking the footpath, and all this before they have
an altered license. How bad will it be if you legitimise them?*

Added to this we have the considerable problem of mini-supermarkets in The Cut being the magnet for alcoholics and drug addicts throughout the night because of twentyfour hour trading, the drug dealers know where to find their customers and the shops know their customers are not going to report them for illegal trading. Because Southwark council either do not have the resources or the will to enforce their own rules and regulations, for whatever reason, I must, and will, object to every attempted encroachment upon my right to enjoy a relatively quiet life at night. Granting extensions to the licensing hours of any establishment in the area will make my life, at night, more miserable and unbearable than it already is because of lack of enforcement by Southwark Council of its own rules, regulations and licensing restrictions.

Sincerely,

Signature

David Smith.

Southwark Licensing Team,
Chaplin Centre,
Thurlon Street,
SE17 2DG

RECORDED

26 MAY 2009

Tait House
Greet Street,
London,
SE1 8NA

22 May 2009

Reference; Application 82968 – Cathedrals Ward.

I wish to lodge an objection to the above application.

My objections are based on the following –

- a) **Prevention of nuisance** – the application premises are situated in an area where numerous other licensed premises exist which are allowing outside late drinking. This application is directly opposite licensed premises that have a record of blocking the pavement and drunken rowdy behaviour. This application would exasperate an already unacceptable problem in the adjacent area. The application premises are situated below residential properties and opposite a large bloke of residential properties. The applicant is already showing disregard of licensing rules by placing tables outside the premises, serving drinks to the public outside the premises and using adjacent communal seating for their own purposes.
- b) **Public safety** – due to the proximity of the premises off sale facilities that are allowed on the pavement will cause pedestrians to use the busy road to pass by. The area around these premises is already established as an area used by members of the street population, drug abusers and street criminals. The general public would be at risk from these elements because they would have to pass the premises.
- c) **Prevention of nuisance** – off sale activity would encourage undesirable elements of the population to hang around and cause nuisance to the resident population.
- d) **Protection of children** – children and their cares would have to negotiate drinkers on the pavement. This is not a suitable environment for young children.

Yours sincerely



David E Mills

William Smith

Flat 1, Tait House, Greet Street, London SE1 8NA
Tel: 020 7 463 1111 Mob: 07972 111111

Southwark Council Licensing
Chaplin Centre Centre
Thurlow Street
London SE17 2DG

RECEIVED

28 MAY 2009

21st May 2009

Dear Sir or Madam,

Re: Licence Application Number 829686, TAS Restaurant 33 The Cut, SE1 8LF

I write to object to the granting of a licence to sell alcohol for consumption off the premises – outdoors. Having discussed this with my neighbours we have agreed the reasons for objection and the format and contents of our letters are identical. Had we signed a joint letter it would have counted as one objection as opposed to three objections, therefore we are forwarding individual letters.

I detail below my reasons for objection:

- (a) In my opinion the premises management has not acted in a responsible or reasonable manner by placing tables and chairs outside the premises and serving alcohol at these tables when they do not have a licence for tables and chairs to be placed outside the premises, neither do they have a licence to serve alcohol outside the premises. A representative of our residents association visited the restaurant to invite the manager to attend a meeting with the purpose of pursuing and reaching an amicable compromise agreement. The invitation was declined.
- (b) Public Nuisance. I have attended many meetings and forums over the past 2 years hosted by both Southwark and Lambeth Councils to discuss problems created by outside drinking. These included inter alia noise pollution, anti-social behaviour and a fear of increasing crime such as handbag theft, muggings and aggressive street begging.

The number of bars and restaurants issued with late-night / early morning outside alcohol licenses have reached saturation point. The Cut and surrounding streets, Hatfields, Greet Street, Short Street, Ufford Street, Mitre Road and Windmill Walk are totally residential and living here is becoming unbearable and sleeping sometimes impossible. I am not anti-business or anti-alcohol. I have lived in Greet Street for 35 years and have seen the results empty business premises have caused such as squatting, drug dealing, vandalism and increased crime, plus loss of local shop for residents.

The smoking ban has created most of the problem with pavement obstruction and late night noise pollution. I therefore would be prepared to discuss a compromise

agreement of a limited number of tables and six chairs on the pavement. Having discussed this with another resident the limit would be three tables and chairs. This would permit outside service from Monday to Saturday until 23:00 and Sunday until 22:30 this must be vigorously adhered to by their management and the tables and chairs removed from the pavement at deadline.

I look forward to receiving confirmation of my objection in due course.

Yours faithfully

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read 'W. Smith', with a long horizontal flourish extending to the right.

William Smith.

From: James Hoy [JamesHoy@]
Sent: 27 May 2009 10:40
To: Regen, Licensing
Subject: Licence Number 829686

Dear Sir or Madam,

I'm writing to you to register my objection to the license application above; To Add off Sales to Retail of Alcohol.

Tas restaurants span a large area opposite my flat and noise from these premises is extremely bothersome—interfering with my rights as a resident of the area, to have peaceful night's sleep. Currently, noise and nuisance from this area disrupts me quite considerably. There is a constant through fare of visitors to the premises, in various stage of inebriation. It seems pointless to me to report the nuisance when it happens due to the fact they are just passing though from the restaurant to the Cut and surrounding areas. This does not mitigate the fact that it disturbs me on an almost nightly basis.

Also, noise from the courtyard space outside the restaurant is constantly annoying and the only saving grace at present is that it must stop at 11pm. I can only see this getting worse if the license extension is given and I will be kept up to a later and later hour. I also imagine the levels of inebriation will increase with a longer time period to sell alcohol, and therefore the number times the public are disturbed. Towards the end of the night at present, drunk people coming from Taz feel the need to urinate outside my flat and around the surrounding buildings – if a later license to sell alcohol is granted this will increase too. An outcome I am far from happy about.

I hope that you understand my and my neighbours' objections and refuse this license application.

Kind regards


James Hoy

Benson House

Hatfields

London

Se1 8DQ

 please consider the environment before printing this e-mail.


THE CUT,
LONDON,
SE1 8LF
020
21/05/2009.

Dear Sir/Madam,

I am writing to strongly object to the granting of an off-sales addition to the present Tas alcohol License.

As it is I have problems sleeping/listening to the Radio And watching the Television, this is caused by the noise From the Young Vic. The local Public Houses seem to adhere to the rules, but the Young Vic seems to have its own rules, and don't seem at all concerned about the Residents. I feel that the extra noise coming from Tas Would severely impede my quality of life.

Yours Faithfully.



Mr B. J. Summerford

RECEIVED

27 MAY 2009

Southwark Licensing Team
Chaplin Centre
Thurlon St
London
SE17 2DG

Residents at
The Cut
London
SE1 8LF

25th May 2009

RE: Objection to 'Tas' Restaurant Licence Application

Dear Southwark Licensing Team

We have become aware of the application to add off sales to a present alcohol licence by the following applicant:

Applicant's name: TWO MEN AND A LADY LTD

Trading name and address:

Tas Restaurant

33 The Cut

SE1 8LF

Licence number: 829686

As residents of the area, living in very close proximity to these premises, we would like to lodge an objection to this licence based on the predominantly residential occupancy of this neighbourhood. The extended licence, allowing the serving of alcoholic drinks until 1.30am, would result in excessive noise at a very late hour, and on occasion undoubtedly lead to an increase in anti-social behaviour. These extended hours proposed for seven days a week would be very disruptive to residents, in particular during the week nights when work and other obligations must be addressed the following morning.

A number of the older residences above the shops and restaurants along The Cut still maintain single glazing. Therefore any external disturbance is even more pronounced within these properties. If the licence were to be granted the requirement for double-glazing would need to be addressed in these properties. This could prove difficult for tenants leasing the properties to negotiate, and expensive work for the property owners.

In addition to these points we believe granting the licence to this property would incite other restaurants and bars in this area to further their applications to include the same extensions. The only result could be a further increase in the disruptions outlined above.

We believe it to be an unreasonable request on local residents and would prove to be detrimental to our quality of living. We would like to suggest that the local council consider strongly the already unacceptable levels of disorder and anti-social behaviour brought about by the number of 24-hour stores, and understand the increase in such activity the extension of licences would bring.

We would greatly appreciate your careful consideration of the impact on local residents and request that it be rejected in order for the prevention of nuisance to those that would undoubtedly be directly affected by the granting of such a licence. Many thanks for your attention.

Yours sincerely,
The residents at The Cut

Ciara Langley
Ciara Langley

25/05/09
Date

Helena Ivins
Helena Ivins

25/05/09
Date

Sarah Fitzpatrick
Sarah Fitzpatrick

25th May 09
Date

From: Daniela Zitta [dzitta@]
Sent: 27 May 2009 21:52
To: Omojomolo, Alex
Subject: Re: RE: Objection TAS off-sales license

HELLO,

address is Benson House, Hatfields. SE18DQ.

Regards,
Daniela

----- Original-Nachricht -----

> Datum: Tue, 26 May 2009 09:32:52 +0100
> Von: <[redacted]@southwark.gov.uk>
> An: "Daniela Zitta" <dzitta@[redacted]>
> Betreff: RE: Objection TAS off-sales license

>
> Daniela,
>
> Thanks for yours of 24/05/2009, but for me to be able to progress with
> your letter I will need you to supply me with your address and full post code.
>
> As soon as I receive this I will be making a reply to your letter.

> Regards

>
> Alex Omojomolo
> Licensing Support Officer
> London Borough of Southwark
> Email: Alex.Omojomolo@southwark.gov.uk
> General: licensing@southwark.gov.uk
> Phone: 020 7525 5718
> Fax: 020 7525 5705

>
> For information on licenced premises
> visit:-<http://licensing.southwarksites.com/>

>
> For information on Personal licence
> visit:-<http://licensing.southwarksites.com/licencepersonal.asp>

>
> To download application forms click on the link
> <http://www.southwark.gov.uk/businesscentre/licensing/applyforlicence>

> -----Original Message-----

> From: Daniela Zitta [mailto:dzitta@]
> Sent: 24 May 2009 18:31
> To: Regen, Licensing
> Subject: Objection TAS off-sales license

> Dear Sir or madam,

>
> I would like to object to the recent application of TAS Restaurant on
> the Cut to add off sales to their present alcohol license (License
> number 829686). If the application were granted, TAS would be able to
> add tables and chairs outside of the restaurant on the pavement and
> serving alcoholic drinks from 11.00am to 1.30am seven days a week.

>
> I live in the neighborhood. I'm female, single and living on my own.
> Any time I come home later in the evening (after 10pm) I am
> encountering drunk people in the streets. I feel harrassed. I have
> witnessed anti-csocial behaviour before from customers of EV, Thai
> Silk and Jack's Bar on Isabella Street well into the early hours of

> morning. Also, I can hear people shouting in the night, especially on the weekends.
> The noise level is excessive.

> This is a residential area. If TAS would be allowed to add off sales
> on seven days a week it would increase the amount of drunken people
> around, increase the amount of anti-social behaviour, increase the
> noise levels and would also mean that my sleep would be disturbed not
> only on the weekends, but also during the week. I am often working long hours so
> sleep is crucial.

> I therefore strongly object to this license being granted.

> Sincerely
> Daniela Zitta

> --
> Neu: GMX FreeDSL Komplettanschluss mit DSL 6.000 Flatrate +
> Telefonanschluss für nur 17,95 Euro/mtl.!*
> <http://portal.gmx.net/de/go/dsl02>

> To help create a sustainable environment please think carefully before
> you print this e-mail. Do not print it unless it is really necessary.
> *****
> ***** Southwark Council does not accept
> liability for loss or damage resulting from software viruses.

> The views expressed in this e-mail may be personal to the sender and
> should not be taken as necessarily representing those of Southwark Council.

> The information in this e-mail and any attached files is confidential
> and may be covered by legal and/or professional privilege or be
> subject to privacy legislation. It is intended solely for the
> individual or entity to which it is addressed. If you are not the
> intended recipient, the retaining, distribution or other use of any
> transmitted information is strictly prohibited.

> E-mails are transmitted over a public network and Southwark Council
> cannot accept any responsibility for the accuracy of a message that
> may have sustained changes in transmission

> This footnote also confirms that this email message has been swept by
> MIMESweeper for the presence of computer viruses.
> *****
> *****

--
> Neu: GMX FreeDSL Komplettanschluss mit DSL 6.000 Flatrate + Telefonanschluss für nur
> 17,95 Euro/mtl.!* <http://portal.gmx.net/de/go/dsl02>

From: on behalf of Regen, Licensing

Subject: FW: Licence number 829686 - applicant Two Men and a Lady Ltd

From: Karen Illingworth [mailto:mail@

Sent: 15 May 2009 22:33

To: Regen, Licensing

Cc:

Subject: Licence number 829686 - applicant Two Men and a Lady Ltd

I understand that the above company has applied to extend their drinking licence at Tas Restaurant to run until 1.30am and that it should include the serving of drinks on the pavement.

I would like to object to the proposed changes in licencing at Tas Restaurant on the grounds that the restaurant is very close to residential accommodation and it is located in an open space with little, or no noise insulation. The noise that would be generated as a result of street drinking would cause a great deal of stress, inconvenience and nuisance to the residents. The area is already overwhelmed with bars that have little concern for local residents and we have already witnessed the noise that can emanate from these restaurants. In addition to this, there is legislation in this part of Southwark that allows the police to remove alcohol from 'street drinkers' and I would oppose any ruling that prevented equality of opportunity (some would consider it one law for the rich - those who can afford Tas prices, and one for the poor - those who buy in the local supermarket).

Incidentally, Tas Restaurant currently do not have a licence to have tables and chairs outside on the pavement but this does not stop them from doing so. In addition to this, they displayed their application on the windows of their premises but with the writing facing the inside of the building. They have now, after intervention, turned this around so that it can be read from the outside.

Karen Illingworth
Styles House
The Cut
London
SE1 8DF

From: on behalf of Regen, Licensing
Subject: FW: Licence number 829686 - applicant Two Men and a Lady Ltd

From: philippe chery [mailto:flipandflop@...]
Sent: 15 May 2009 22:59
To: Regen, Licensing
Subject: Licence number 829686 - applicant Two Men and a Lady Ltd

I understand that the above company has applied to extend their drinking licence at Tas Restaurant to run until 1.30am and that it should include the serving of drinks on the pavement.

I would like to object to the proposed changes in licencing at Tas Restaurant on the grounds that the restaurant is very close to residential accommodation and it is located in an open space with little, or no noise insulation. The noise that would be generated as a result of street drinking would cause a great deal of stress, inconvenience and nuisance to the residents. The area is already overwhelmed with bars that have little concern for local residents and we have already witnessed the noise that can emanate from these restaurants. In addition to this, there is legislation in this part of Southwark that allows the police to remove alcohol from 'street drinkers' and I would oppose any ruling that prevented equality of opportunity (some would consider it one law for the rich - those who can afford Tas prices, and one for the poor - those who buy in the local supermarket).

Incidentally, Tas Restaurant currently do not have a licence to have tables and chairs outside on the pavement but this does not stop them from doing so. In addition to this, they displayed their application on the windows of their premises but with the writing facing the inside of the building. They have now, after intervention, turned this around so that it can be read from the outside.

philippe chery
;styles house
the cut
SE1 8DF london

" Upgrade to Internet Explorer 8 Optimised for MSN. " [Download Now](#)

From: on behalf of Regen, Licensing
Subject: FW: Licence number 829686 - applicant Two Men and a Lady Ltd

From: PATRA MING [mailto:patramingo@]
Sent: 18 May 2009 09:05
To: Regen, Licensing
Subject: FW: Licence number 829686 - applicant Two Men and a Lady Ltd

I understand that the above company has applied to extend their drinking licence at Tas Restaurant to run until 1.30am and that it should include the serving of drinks on the pavement.

I would like to object to the proposed changes in licencing at Tas Restaurant on the grounds that the restaurant is very close to residential accommodation and it is located in an open space with little, or no noise insulation. The noise that would be generated as a result of street drinking would cause a great deal of stress, inconvenience and nuisance to the residents. The area is already overwhelmed with bars that have little concern and respect for local residents and we have already witnessed the noise that can emanate from these restaurants. In addition to this, there is legislation in this part of Southwark that allows the police to remove alcohol from 'street drinkers' and I would oppose any ruling that prevented equality of opportunity (some would consider it one law for the rich - those who can afford Tas prices, and one for the poor - those who buy in the local supermarket).

Incidentally, Tas Restaurant currently do not have a licence to have tables and chairs outside on the pavement but this does not stop them from doing so. In addition to this, they displayed their application on the windows of their premises but with the writing facing the inside of the building. They have now, after intervention, turned this around so that it can be read from the outside.

Also if this goes ahead it will encourage others business around the area to follow suit especially EV which is part of TAS.

Patra Ming
'Styles House
Hatfields
London
SE1 8DF

Share your photos with Windows Live Photos - Free. Try it Now!